SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
MAMADOU LAMARAN	A BAH a/k/a BARRY	SAMBA	i	CITY OF PHILA TWNSHP, POL	A, PHILA LICE DEP	POLICE DEF	PT., UPPER R DARBY, S	DARB SEPTA	BY E
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PHILADELPHIA				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA					
(E	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)			,	LAINTIFF CASES	•		
					ND CONDEMN INVOLVED.	IATION CASES, US	SE THE LOCATIO)N OF TH	E
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Known)					
KENNETH S. SAFFRE		REENWOOD AV	E, #22,						
JENKINTOWN, PA 190			+						
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)			PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pr		PTF	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	n of Another State	0 2 0 2	Incorporated and I	Principal Place	5	1 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)				of Business In			J ,
					3 0 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	ml-c)	For	eign Country					
CONTRACT		RTS	FC	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUTE	es .
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		Agriculture		al 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Rea		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractic		Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure	1 423 With	drawal SC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust	t	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	2003	SC 137	 430 Banks and 450 Commercial 		;
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability		Liquor Laws		RTYRIGHTS	460 Deportat		
□ 151 Medicare Act	Slander ☐ 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product) R.R. & Truck) Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copy ☐ 830 Paten		470 Racketee	er Influence Organizatio	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 660	Occupational	☐ 840 Trade		☐ 480 Consume		ж
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health Other			☐ 490 Cable/Sa		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending			SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 810 Selective ☐ 850 Securitie		lities/
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal	D 710	Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA ((1395ff)	Exchange	e	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage		Act Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black	: Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	875 Custome 12 USC 3		e
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability		Product Liability		Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID		☐ 890 Other Sta		tions
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (☐ 891 Agricultı	ural Acts	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat		Railway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation		L TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 892 Economi ☐ 893 Environ		
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence		Empl. Ret. Inc.	or De	efendant)	S94 Energy A		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General		Security Act	□ 871 IRS		☐ 895 Freedom	of Informa	ation
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	20 08	SC 7609	Act 900Appeal of	f Fee Deter	mination
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth		Naturalization Application	n		Under Eq	qual Access	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition		Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee			to Justice 950 Constitut		,
	Other			Other Immigration			State Stat		
	★ 440 Other Civil Rights			Actions	İ				
	an "X" in One Box Only)			_ Trans	eferred from		~ '	ppeal to I	
	ate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	micu 01	er district	6 Multidistr Litigation	M M	lagistrate Idgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI		USE: SONMENT AND							
VII. REQUESTED IN				MAND \$	C	HECK YES only	if domandad in a		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	, DE	MANUS		JRY DEMAND:	ii demanded in c	ompiaint No	•
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)		-						
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
09/23/2014	W	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY C	F RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	,,,	···							
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

Case 2:14-cv-05467-HB Document 1 Filed 09/23/14 Page 2 of 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF assignment to appropriate calendar.	F PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the pur	pose of
Address of Plaintiff: 1433	CLFREVIEW ST, Phih	Qr 19141	
Address of Defendant: 1515	ARCH ST, Phih PA		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transacti	1100000		
,	(Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongove	ernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure S	Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1($Y_{es} \square N_{o} $	
Does this case involve multidistrict litig	ation possibilities?	Yes□ No	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		_	
Case Number:	Judge	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes	s is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property include	ed in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?	
2. Does this case involve the same issue	of fact on grow out of the same to see the	Yes□ No	
action in this court?	e of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	suit pending or within one year previously terminated	
		Yes□ No 🖟	
3. Does this case involve the validity or	infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	numbered case pending or within one year previously	
terminated action in this court?		Yes No.	
4. Is this case a second or successive ha	beas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	hts case filed by the same individual?	
		Yes N	
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY	ONI V)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	•	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:	
	ne Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	
2. □ FELA		2. □ Airplane Personal Injury	
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	7	3. □ Assault, Defamation	
4. □ Antitrust		4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury	
5. □ Patent		5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	
6. □ Labor-Management Related	ions	6. ☐ Other Personal Injury (Ple	ase
. 1		specify)	
7. Civil Rights		7. □ Products Liability	
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus		8. Products Liability — Asbestos	
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases		9. □ All other Diversity Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review C	ases	(Please specify)	
11. □ All other Federal Questio (Please specify)	n Cases		
1/	ARBITRATION CERT		
I, KSNNETH SAFFES)	, counsel of record do hereby cert	ify:	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and co	sts;	l belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sur	n of
☐ Relief other than monetary damag	ges is sought		
DATE: 9/23/14	'NV	44195	
	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	
N	OTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the wi	thin case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court	
except as noted above.	$M_{\rm N}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DATE: 9 23 14	MM /	44195	
	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	

CIV. 609 (6/08)

Case 2:14-cv-05467-HB Document 1 Filed 09/23/14 Page 3 of 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FO assignment to appropriate calendar.	RM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 1433 CLFRVIEW ST. Ph.	h Qx 19141
	PA 19102
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: UDD92 DRUST , PA	
(Use Reverse Sid	de For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporate	oration and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.F	\ 2
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	
Juage	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within	in one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No Yes□ No
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as action in this court?	a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
3. Dong this consistent was a self-line of City	Yes No No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any terminated action in this court?	<u> </u>
	Yes No.
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil	vil rights case filed by the same individual?
	Yes No.
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
 A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. FELA	 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please
200000	specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	(Please specify)
ARBITRATION C	iate Category)
L. CONNETH SAFECT. counsel of record do hereby Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	y certify: ge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought	
DATE: 9/23/4 NM	44195
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only	Attorney I.D.# y if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pendi	ng or within one year previously terminoted action in this count
except as noted above.	one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 9/23/14	44195
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

CIV. 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

MAMADOU LAMPEANA	BAH AINIA	:	CIVIL ACTION			
BARRY SAMBA V.		:				
Ciboflhih, et Ac	-	: :	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management T e a copy on all defe event that a defen- shall, with its first rties, a Case Mana	rack Designation of the condents. (See § dant does not a appearance, su gement Track l	duction Plan of this court, couns on Form in all civil cases at the time 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reagree with the plaintiff regarding bmit to the clerk of court and ser Designation Form specifying the	me of everse g said ve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CA	SE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. (
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	complex and that	need special or	r intense management by	()		
,						
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not	fall into any or	ne of the other tracks.	(4)		
9/23/14	KERNELH SAF	FOF	PLAIUNIFF			
Date	Attorney-at-		Attorney for			
215,576-0100	215.576-	<u> </u>	KSAFTERJ@CAFFWEIJ.COM			
Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address			

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MAMADOU LAMARANA BAH a/k/a

BARRY SAMBA

1433 Clearview Street

Philadelphia, PA 19141

Plaintiff,

v. : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

One Parkway

1515 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595

and

PHILADELPHIA POLICE DEPARTMENT : CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

One Parkway

1515 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595 : NO.:

and

UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP

POLICE DEPARTMENT

7236 West Chester Pike

Upper Darby, PA 19082

and

UPPER DARBY TOWNSHIP

5104 State Road

5104 State Road

Upper Darby, PA 19082

and

SEPTA

1234 Market Street, 4th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19107

Defendants.

JURISDICTION

This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 and 1343(1), (3), (4), and the aforementioned statutory provision. Plaintiffs further invoke the pendent and ancillary jurisdiction of this Court to hear and decide claims arising under state law.

VENUE

Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391(b) because the cause of action upon which the Complaint is based arose in Upper Darby, Pennsylvania and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania which is in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Mamadou Lamarana Bah a/k/a Barry Samba (hereinafter "Samba"), is a citizen and resident of the City Philadelphia, and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- Defendant City of Philadelphia is a municipality in the City of Philadelphia,
 Philadelphia County existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of
 Pennsylvania.
- 3. Defendant City of Philadelphia Police Department is a municipal agency in the City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 4. Defendant Upper Darby Township is a municipality in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- Defendant Upper Darby Township Police Department is a municipal agency in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 6. Defendant, SEPTA is a municipal agency and operates in the City and County of Philadelphia and the Township of Upper Darby existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 7. At all times relevant herein the aforementioned Defendants each individually

employed Police Officers who were at all times relevant hereto officers with the City of Philadelphia Police Department, the Upper Darby Township Police Department, and SEPTA, and are being sued both individually and as officers, agents and/or employees of their respective employer.

FACTS

- 9. On or about September 24, 2012, Plaintiff, was lawfully at the 69th Street Terminal as a business invitee.
- 10. At the aforementioned time and place, Defendants, improperly forcibly seized, assaulted, battered and detained Plaintiff.
- 11. Plaintiff was improperly arrested, detained, and jailed and was grabbed with excessive force by his arm to improperly arrest Plaintiff, and said officers placed the handcuffs on Plaintiff without probable cause, improperly, and to tightly causing injury.
- 12. The SEPTA officers negligently arrested and detained Plaintiff, grabbed Plaintiff with excessive force by his arm to improperly arrest Plaintiff, and said officers placed the handcuffs on Plaintiff without probable cause, improperly, and to tightly causing injury.
- 13. The SEPTA officers negligently arrested Plaintiff, as he was the improper suspect and negligently provided him to the Upper Darby and/or City of Philadelphia Police Departments.
- 14. The SEPTA officers and employees improperly and negligently failed to detain, restrain, and remove the proper individuals who may have been creating a nuisance on the date in question.

- 15. Said SEPTA Officers improperly and negligently failed to identify the proper individuals who may have been the proper suspect.
- 16. SEPTA failed to properly hire, train, and supervise said officers and/or employees.
- 17. The negligence of said SEPTA Officers and employees of Sugarhouse in failing to properly detain, restrain, and arrest the proper individuals caused said injuries to Plaintiff.
- 18. Said officers arrested Plaintiff without probable cause.
- 19. Plaintiff was threatened, intimidated and accosted by Defendant Officers.
- 20. The assault, battery and detainment of Plaintiff committed by Defendants was conducted in a violent and abusive manner, during the course of which Officers, pulled, shoved, twisted Plaintiff's arm, placed handcuffs on to tightly, and verbally assaulted and abused Plaintiff with the intent and purpose of humiliating and embarrassing Plaintiff in the presence of the public in general and, particularly, the people present at the scene.
- 21. At the direction of Defendants, Police Officers unlawfully imprisoned and detained Plaintiff without warrant, probable cause and/or lawful cause whatsoever.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned acts and omissions of the Defendants, Plaintiff, suffered and continues to suffer from but not limited to the following:
 - A. Severe degradation, humiliation, embarrassment, emotional stress and anxiety;
 - B. Extreme fear, anxiety and paranoia especially upon seeing police officers

and constables;

- C. Damage to his reputation;
- D. Having been and may continue to be prevented to attending to some or all of his usual daily activities to his great detriment and loss;
- E. Injuries to his left wrist;
- F. Post-Traumatic Stress/Anxiety Syndrome including but not limited to sleeplessness and feelings of despair.
- G. Injuries to his nerves and nervous system;
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendants,
 Plaintiff, has been obliged to and may continue to expend various sums of money
 and to incur various expenditures for medical expenses for an indefinite period of
 time in the future, to his great detriment and loss.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of Defendants,
 Plaintiff, has suffered a severe loss of earnings and impairment of his earning
 capacity or power, which will continue for an indefinite period of time in the
 future to his great detriment and loss.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendants,

 Plaintiff, has and will thereafter incur other financial expenses and losses.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned actions of the Defendants, Plaintiff, has suffered agonizing aches, severe physical trauma and pains, contusions, bruises, numbness, disability, mental anguish, humiliation and emotional distress and he will continue to suffer the same for an indefinite time in the future, to his great detriment and loss.

COUNT I 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND 1988

- 27. Paragraphs 1 through 26 are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth herein at length.
- As aforementioned, Defendant, Police Officers, acted in the course and scope of their employment, under the color of state law, and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of the Defendant City of Philadelphia and Township of Upper Darby intentionally and maliciously assaulted Plaintiff and detained Plaintiff without probable cause, without due process of law and depriving him of the equal protection of the law and depriving them of the privileges and immunities afforded to citizens of the United States, all of which actions violated the Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourth, Fifth,

 Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, laws of the United States and in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- 29. As aforementioned Defendants, Police Officers, acted in the course and scope of their employment, under the color of state law, and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of the Defendant, City of Philadelphia and Township of Upper Darby when they intentionally and maliciously threatened, accused, humiliated and insulted the Plaintiffs and used their authority illegally and improperly to punish the Plaintiffs all of which violated the Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eight and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- 30. As aforementioned, Defendants, Police Officers, acting in the course and scope of

their employment under the color of state law, and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of Defendant City of Philadelphia and Township of Upper Darby were verbally and physically abusive to Plaintiffs, overlooked the verbal and/or physical abuse of the Plaintiffs by others and failed to take remedial measures to stop the verbal and/or physical abuse of the Plaintiffs all of which violated the Plaintiffs' rights under the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and in violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

31. The above described actions of Defendants, Police Officers, acting in the course and scope of their employment under the color of state law, and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of Defendant City of Philadelphia and Township of Upper Darby, were so malicious intentional and/or grossly negligent, displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiffs' rights and well being that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted against Defendants, Police Officers individually.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 and Section 1988 Plaintiff, demands compensatory and punitive damages against all named Defendants in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00.

COUNT II EXCESSIVE FORCE 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND 1988

- 32. Paragraphs 1 through 31 are incorporated herein by reference as though each were fully herein set forth at length.
- 33. The injuries and damages aforementioned were caused solely and exclusively by the carelessness and negligence of the Defendants, Police Officers, acting in the course and scope of their employment under the color of state law, and pursuant to the customs, policies and practices of Defendant City of Philadelphia and Township of Upper Darby, Defendants police officers, constables agents and/or employees. In addition to that mentioned above consisted of the following:
 - A. Failing to restrain the unreasonable seizure of persons without probable cause, without valid warrants by Defendants, police officers and/or constables;
 - B. Failing to properly supervise and/or control police officers and/or other agents of Defendant City of Philadelphia and Township of Upper Darby;
 - C. Using excessive force and inappropriate means by which to subdue Plaintiff and take him into police custody;
 - D. Failing to properly train, test and/or select police officers and/or constables;
 - E. Failing to exercise due care for the Plaintiffs' safety under the circumstances;
 - F. Failing to prevent Plaintiff from being falsely invaded, falsely imprisoned and maliciously prosecuted by its officers where Defendants knew or had reason to know the previous conduct of said officers;
 - G. Otherwise acted without due regard for the rights, safety and position of the Plaintiffs herein; and
 - H. Otherwise violating the ordinances of the City of Philadelphia and Township of Upper Darby and statutes of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, demands judgment against all Defendants jointly and severally in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 plus costs, attorney fees and delay damages.

COUNT III FALSE ARREST AND FALSE IMPRISONMENT

- 34. Paragraphs 1 through 33 are incorporated herein by reference as if each were set forth herein at length.
- 35. Defendants, Police Officers, intentionally caused the false arrest and imprisonment of the Plaintiffs without probable cause, without privilege and against the Plaintiff's will.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of the malicious and intentional acts of the Defendants' and their employees, Plaintiff suffered injuries which are described above.
- 37. The above described actions of Defendants, Police Officers, were so malicious, intentional and reckless and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights and well-being that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted against Defendants individually.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, demands compensatory and punitive damages against the Defendants jointly and severally to the common law torts of false arrest and false imprisonment and in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 plus costs, interest, attorney fees and delay damages.

COUNT IV INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS 42 U.S.C. SECTION 1983 AND 1988

- 38. Paragraphs 1 through 37 are incorporated herein by reference as if each were fully herein set forth at length.
- 39. Plaintiff believes and therefore aver that Defendants did inflict serious emotional distress upon the Plaintiff in a careless and reckless manner.
- 40. As a direct result of the actions of the Defendants, due to the actions of Defendants, Police Officers, Plaintiff has been caused severe emotional damage and experienced great emotional trauma.
- Due to the negligence and recklessness of Defendant City of Philadelphia and the Township of Upper Darby individually and by and through the actions of the Police Officers consisted inter alia of the following:
 - A. Failing to have an existence and/or maintain proper personnel training procedures necessary to prevent this type of conduct;
 - B. Failing to instruct and supervise their police officers in dealing with members of the public;
 - C. Failing to restrain use of force and unlawful seizures of persons by Defendant police officers and/or constables; and
 - E. Carelessly, recklessly and negligently employing, training, supervising and implementing personnel policies and procedures as discovery will disclose and Plaintiff hereby reserves the right to include other causes of action and negligence after discovery has been completed.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, demands judgment against Defendants, jointly, severally and/or individually in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 plus costs, interest, attorney fees and delay damages.

COUNT V NEGLIGENCE

- 42. Paragraphs 1 through 41 are incorporated herein by reference as if each were fully herein set forth at length.
- 43. Defendant SEPTA's negligence and carelessness of consisted of the following:
 - A. Failing to exercise due care under the circumstances;
 - B. Failing to identify the proper person for arrest;
 - C. Failing to properly assess a situation and diffuse any possible conflict to protect business invitees;
 - D. Failing to properly hire personnel to protect the public;
 - E. Failing to properly train said personnel to protect the public;
 - F. Failing to properly supervise said personnel;
 - G. Negligently providing security; and
 - H. Carelessly, recklessly and negligently employing, training, supervising and implementing personnel policies and procedures as discovery will disclose and Plaintiff hereby reserves the right to include other causes of action and negligence after discovery has been completed.
- 44. Due to the negligence and recklessness of the SEPTA, Plaintiff, suffered said injuries aforementioned.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, demands judgment against Defendants, jointly, severally and/or individually in an amount in excess of \$150,000.00 plus costs, interest, attorney fees and delay damages.

Respectfully Submitted,

SAFFREN & WEINBERG

Bv:

Kenneth S. Saffren, Esquire Atty. I.D. No. 44195 815 Greenwood Avenue, Suite 22 Jenkintown, PA 19046 (215) 576-0100

Date: 9|23|14